[14th December 1938

(c) whether the Revenue Settlement of 1935 is in force in South Kanara and if so, to what extent;

(d) whether Government have decided to assess improvements on lands on the expiry of a fixed period and if so, after what period; and

(e) whether notices have been issued to any ryots in South Kanara with a view to assess lands and improvements recently effected and if so, on what basis?

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM :—" (a) & (b) It is presumed that the hon. Member refers to the reclassification of lands converted into 'wet' or 'garden.' Prior to the Settlement of 1902, the practice was to reclassify such lands when converted. At the Settlement of 1902, it was decided that reclassification should not be made during the currency of the settlement but might be made on the expiry of the period of the settlement. At the Resettlement of 1935, it was decided to revert to the original practice of reclassifying at the time of conversion. No change of principle was involved.

" (c) The Resettlement of 1935 is in force in South Kanara in its entirety.

" (d) No such period has been fixed by the Government.

" (e) The Government have no information."

Communitywar list of students admitted into colleges wherein selection committees have been abolished.

* 115 *Q.*—SRI RAO BAHADUR M. RAMAKRISHNA REDDI: With reference to the answer given to starred question No. 20 put at the meeting held on the 18th August 1938, will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Legal Departments be pleased to place on the table of this House a comparative statement showing the number of boys, communitywar, who applied for admission and were admitted in each class in each of the colleges wherein selection committees were constituted previously and abolished recently, in the current year and in the previous year?

THE HON. DR. P. SUBBARAYAN :—" Statements [a] showing the number of students of different communities who applied for admission and who were admitted during the years 1938–39 and 1937–38 are placed on the table. Separate statistics for each class in the several colleges are not available."

SRI RAO BAHADUR N. R. SAMIAPPA MUDALIYAR :—" May I know whether statistics for each class in the several colleges will be called for, Sir? "

[a] Printed as Appendix VI on pages 288–289 infra.

14th December 1938]

THE HON. DR. P. SUBBARAYAN :—" No, Sir."

SRI RAO BAHADUR N. R. SAMIAPPA MUDALIYAR :— " Why, Sir? "

THE HON. DR. P. SUBBARAYAN :—" Because it would be difficult to collect the information, Sir."

Land revenue policy of the Government.

* 116 *Q.*—SRI RAO BAHADUR M. RAMAKRISHNA REDDI : With reference to answer given to starred question No. 18 put at the meeting held on 18th August 1938, will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state whether the Government have since come to any conclusion about the future of the land revenue policy?

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM :—" The answer is in the negative."

MR. J. A. SALDANHA :—" May I know when the Government are going to carry out reduction in respect of revenue? "

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM :—" As early as possible."

SRI RAO BAHADUR N. R. SAMIAPPA MUDALIYAR :— " May I know when they will come to a definite conclusion? "

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM :—" The Government have themselves been anxious in this regard and it will be announced as soon as some decision is arrived at."

MR. J. A. SALDANHA :—" The words ' as early as possible ' figure so prominently in the answers. May I know when that possibility will arise? "

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM :—" It will not be long."

SRI RAO BAHADUR M. RAMAKRISHNA REDDI :—" May I know the approximate time, whether it will be this year or next year? "

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM :—" I have already announced that it will not be long."

Action taken by the Government against the Circle Inspector of Police, Dharmavaram, consequent on the finding of the Additional Sessions Judge, Anantapur, in C.A. No. 6 of 1938.

* 117 *Q.*—SRI M. NARAYANA RAO : Will the Hon. the Prime Minister be pleased to state whether the Government have taken or propose to take any action against the Circle Inspector of Police, Dharmavaram, in view of the finding of the Additional Sessions Judge that the case was fabricated by the Circle Inspector in C.A. No. 6 of 1938 on the file of the Court of District Sessions Judge, Anantapur?